



INDICATORS FOR ROUTINE MONITORING OF EFFECTIVE MENTAL HEALTH CARE COVERAGE IN LOW AND MIDDLE INCOME SETTINGS.

In low and middle-income countries (LMIC) the treatment gap for people with mental health problems, defined as the difference between the number of people needing care and those receiving such care, is especially pronounced.

Global initiatives to scale up mental health services are under way. These efforts require an adequate information system, which includes **mental health metrics** to estimate changes in treatment coverage and to monitor quality of care.

OBJECTIVES

The present research tries to identify **indicators** that can be used within routine health information systems **to measure effective coverage, including financial protection, for treatment of people with mental illness.**

METHODOLOGY

The researchers conducted a two-round Delphi study with 93 experts from primarily LMIC countries (Ethiopia, India, Nepal, Nigeria, South Africa and Uganda), in order to generate and prioritize a set of indicators.



FINDINGS

This study has resulted in a stable list of most frequently endorsed indicators that cover different domains of measuring mental health care coverage, including treatment needs, treatment utilization, treatment quality and financial protection for service users.

The **fifteen most highly ranked indicators** by the experts were:



1 Number of people diagnosed with severe mental disorders.



2 Number of days in last one month that psychotropic medicines were out of stock.



3 Proportion of national health budget allocated to mental health services.



4 Number of trained mental health workers at inpatient and outpatient service.



Number of people with severe mental disorder who received mental health treatment.



Rate of **suicide deaths** and attempts. Number of people diagnosed with any



mental disorder (all health system). 8 Number of people receiving mental health care



who are lost to follow-up (drop-out rate). 9 Number of people with any mental disorder who received mental health treatment (among diagnosed people).



10 Number of patients **re-admitted** to in-patient mental health care.



11 Number of persons taking psychotropic drugs.



Number of patients and caregivers expressing satisfaction with received services.



13 Number of people with mental disorders who have some kind of financial **protection or insurance** against the cost of mental health care treatment.



9⁶, 14 Number of beneficiaries who are **better, worse or unchanged** post-treatment compared to pre-treatment, or at standard intervals after starting treatment.



Number of serious complications or incidents associated with treatment.

CONCLUSIONS

- In order to evaluate the scaling up of mental health care in LMIC, there is an urgent need to have an adequate information system. Good quality data on a number of key indicators can support the process of making decisions and improvements related to mental health care.
- The strong consensus reached in this study for the identified key indicators provides a platform for their consideration and inclusion within national health **information systems**, which in turn can improve monitoring and reporting of mental health system performance at the global level.

REFERENCES

Jordans, M. J., Chisholm, D., Semrau, M., Upadhaya, N., Abdulmalik, J., Ahuja, S., & Petersen, I. (2016). Indicators for routine monitoring of effective mental healthcare coverage in low-and middle-income settings: a Delphi study. Health policy and planning, czw040.